



ACADEMIC SPECTRUM

2026-yil 4-son

Ilmiy-metodik jurnal
Научно методический журнал
Scientific and Methodical Journal

ISSN

3093-9089

Бухоро - 2026



ACADEMIC SPECTRUM

Ilmiy-metodik jurnal
Научно методический журнал
Scientific and Methodical Journal

№ 4-Son 2026-yil

**Jurnal O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Buxoro viloyat Axborot va Ommaviy
komunikatsiyalar boshqarmasi tomonidan 2025-yil 26-dekabrdagi
№1273056 sonli guvohnoma bilan ro‘yxatga olingan**

BOSH MUHARRIR:

Axmadov Nazirjon Rahmat o‘g‘li

Psixologiya fanlari bo‘yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent

TAHRIRIYAT KENGASHI A‘ZOLARI

Кулешов Валерий Владимирович - pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor
Rossiya Fanlar akademiyasining akademigi (Ukraina).

Andriyenko Yelena Vasilyevna - pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor
(Novosibirsk davlat pedagogika universiteti Fizika, matematika, axborot va
texnologiya ta‘limi instituti, Novosibirsk, Rossiya).

Romm Tatyana Aleksandrovna - pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor
(Novosibirsk davlat pedagogika universiteti Tarix, gumanitar va ijtimoiy ta‘lim
instituti, Novosibirsk, Rossiya).

Tadjixodjayev Zokirxo‘ja Abdusattorvich - Texnika fanlari doktori, professor

Olimov Shirinboy Sharofovich - pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor (Buxoro
davlat universiteti “Pedagogika” kafedrasi mudiri).

Zaripov Lochin Rustamovich - pedagogika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
(O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Oliy ta‘lim, fan va innovatsiyalar vazirligi huzuridagi Oliy
ta‘limni rivojlantirish ilmiy-tadqiqot markazi, O‘quv-uslubiy faoliyatni
takomillashtirish boshqarma boshlig‘i).

Xamidov Akmal Amrulloevich – pedagogika fanlari bo‘yicha falsafa doktori
(PhD) (Buxoro viloyati Pedagogik mahorat markazi direktori)

Nazarov Akmal Mardonovich - psixologiya fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
(Buxoro davlat universiteti Yoshlar masalalari va ma`naviy-ma`rifiy ishlar bo‘yicha
birinchi prorektor).

Safarov Dilmurod Xalimovich - psixologiya fanlari doktori (DSc), professor
(Navoiy viloyati pedagogik mahorat markazi Akademik faoliyat bo'yicha direktor
o'rinbosari)

Rustamov Shavkat Shuhrat o‘g‘li - psixologiya fanlari doktori (DSc), dotsent
(Buxoro davlat universiteti “Psixologiya” kafedrasi mudiri).

Ganjiyev Feruz Furqatovich - psixologiya fanlari doktori (DSc), dotsent
(Buxoro davlat universiteti “Psixologiya” kafedrasi professori).

Kosimov Ubaydulla Axrorovich - psixologiya fanlari bo‘yicha falsafa doktori
(PhD), dotsent (Buxoro viloyati Pedagogik mahorat markazi Pedagogika va
psixologiya, ta‘lim texnologiyalari kafedrasi mudiri)

Ismatova Dilafuz Tuymuratovna - psixologiya fanlari bo‘yicha falsafa
doktori (PhD), (Buxoro davlat universiteti “Psixologiya” kafedrasi dotsenti).

Muxlisov Sodiqjon Saidjonovich - pedagogika fanlari bo‘yicha falsafa doktori
(PhD), (Buxoro davlat universiteti “Axborot tizimlari va raqamli texnologiyalar
kafedrasi dotsenti).



Tilavov Muxtor Hasan o‘g‘li - psixologiya fanlari bo‘yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), (Buxoro davlat universiteti “Psixologiya” kafedrasida dotsenti).

Mas‘ul kotib:

Dehqonboyev Shohjahon Oybek o‘g‘li – Buxoro davlat universiteti Psixologiya kafedrasida o‘qituvchisi

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Akhmadov Nazirjon Rahmat o‘g‘li — Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Psychology, Associate Professor.

MEMBERS OF THE EDITORIAL BOARD:

Valeriy Vladimirovich Kuleshov — Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Ukraine).

Elena Vasilyevna Andrienko — Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor (Novosibirsk State Pedagogical University, Institute of Physics, Mathematics, Information and Technological Education, Novosibirsk, Russia).

Tatyana Aleksandrovna Romm — Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor (Novosibirsk State Pedagogical University, Institute of History, Humanities and Social Education, Novosibirsk, Russia).

Tadjixodjayev Zokirkhoja Abdusattorovich — Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor.

Shirinboy Sharofovich Olimov — Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor (Bukhara State University, Head of the “Pedagogy” Department).

Lochin Rustamovich Zaripov — Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences (DSc), Professor (Research Center for the Development of Higher Education under the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovations of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Head of the Department for Improvement of Educational and Methodological Activities).

Khamidov Akmal Amrulloevich — Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Pedagogical Sciences, Director of the Bukhara Regional Center for Pedagogical Excellence.

Akmal Mardonovich Nazarov — Doctor of Psychological Sciences (DSc), Professor (Bukhara State University, First Vice-Rector for Youth Affairs and Spiritual-Educational Work).

Dilmurod Xalimovich Safarov — Doctor of Psychological Sciences (DSc), Professor (Navoi Regional Center for Pedagogical Excellence, Deputy Director for Academic Affairs).

Shavkat Shuhrat o‘g‘li Rustamov — Doctor of Psychological Sciences (DSc), Associate Professor (Bukhara State University, Head of the “Psychology” Department).

Feruz Furqatovich Ganjiyev — Doctor of Psychological Sciences (DSc), Associate Professor (Bukhara State University, Professor of the “Psychology” Department).

Kosimov Ubaydulla Axrorovich — Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Psychological Sciences, Associate Professor, Head of the Department of Pedagogy and Psychology, Educational Technologies at the Bukhara Regional Center for Pedagogical Excellence.

Dilafruz Tuymuratovna Ismatova — Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Psychological Sciences, Associate Professor (Bukhara State University, “Psychology” Department).



Sodiqjon Saidjonovich Mukhlisov — Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor (Bukhara State University, “Information Systems and Digital Technologies” Department).

Muxtor Hasan o‘g‘li Tilavov — Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Psychological Sciences, Associate Professor (Bukhara State University, “Psychology” Department).

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY:

Dehqonboyev Shohjahon Oybek o‘g‘li — Lecturer, Department of Psychology, Bukhara State University.

ГЛАВНЫЙ РЕДАКТОР:

Ахмадов Назирджон Рахмат угли — доктор философии по психологии (PhD), доцент.

ЧЛЕНЫ РЕДАКЦИОННОГО СОВЕТА:

Кулешов Валерий Владимирович — доктор педагогических наук, профессор, академик Российской академии наук (Украина).

Андрienко Елена Васильевна — доктор педагогических наук, профессор (Новосибирский государственный педагогический университет, Институт физики, математики, информационных и технологических наук, г. Новосибирск, Россия).

Ромм Татьяна Александровна — доктор педагогических наук, профессор (Новосибирский государственный педагогический университет, Институт истории, гуманитарных и социальных наук, г. Новосибирск, Россия).

Таджиходжаев Зокирходжа Абдусатторович — доктор технических наук, профессор.

Олимов Ширинбой Шарофович — доктор педагогических наук, профессор (Бухарский государственный университет, заведующий кафедрой «Педагогика»).

Зарипов Лочин Рустамович — доктор педагогических наук (DSc), профессор (Научно-исследовательский центр развития высшего образования при Министерстве высшего образования, науки и инноваций Республики Узбекистан, начальник управления совершенствования учебно-методической деятельности).

Хамидов Акмал Амруллоевич — доктор философии (PhD) по педагогическим наукам, директор Центра педагогического мастерства Бухарской области.

Назаров Акмал Мардонович — доктор психологических наук (DSc), профессор (Бухарский государственный университет, первый проректор по делам молодежи и духовно-просветительской работе).

Сафаров Дилмурод Халимович — доктор психологических наук (DSc), профессор (Навоийский областной центр педагогического мастерства, заместитель директора по академической деятельности).

Рустамов Шавкат Шухрат угли — доктор психологических наук (DSc), доцент (Бухарский государственный университет, заведующий кафедрой «Психология»).

Ганжиев Феруз Фуркатович — доктор психологических наук (DSc), доцент (Бухарский государственный университет, профессор кафедры «Психология»).

Косимов Убайдулла Ахрорович — доктор философии (PhD) по психологическим наукам, доцент, заведующий кафедрой педагогики и психологии, образовательных технологий Центра педагогического мастерства Бухарской области.

Исматова Дилафруз Туймуратовна — доктор философии (PhD) по психологическим наукам, доцент (Бухарский государственный университет, кафедра «Психология»).



Мухлисов Содикжон Саиджонович — доктор философии (PhD) по педагогическим наукам, доцент (Бухарский государственный университет, кафедра «Информационные системы и цифровые технологии»).

Тилавов Мухтор Хасан угли — доктор философии (PhD) по психологическим наукам, доцент (Бухарский государственный университет, кафедра «Психология»).

ОТВЕТСТВЕННЫЙ СЕКРЕТАРЬ:

Дехконбоев Шохжахон Ойбек угли — преподаватель кафедры «Психология» Бухарского государственного университета.

MUNDARIJA:

T/R	Mualliflar	Maqola sarlavhasi	Bet
1	<i>G'AYBULLAYEV ALISHER ASADOVICH</i>	MAKTAB UQUVCHILARIDA BULLING XULQ ATVOR SHAKLLANISHINING IJTIMOYIY PSIXOLOGIK DETERMINANTLARI	11
2	<i>YOQUBJONOVA SEVARA BAHODIR QIZI</i>	PRESERVING THE AUTHOR'S INDIVIDUAL STYLE IN UZBEK TRANSLATION (BASED ON LEE KUAN YEW'S FROM THIRD WORLD TO FIRST)	17
3	<i>IBODULLOYEV NURALI SHERALIYEVICH</i>	PEDAGOGIK TEXNOLOGIYALARNING TA'LIM JARAYONIDA SAMARDORLIGI VA METODOLOGIK ASOSLARI	28
4	<i>AXMEDOVA ZARRINA JAMILOVNA, NARZULLOYEVA JASMINA JO'RABEK QIZI</i>	IJTIMOYIY TARMOQLARNING O'SPirinLAR PSIXIKASIGA TA'SIRI VA UNING PSIXOLOGIK JIHATLARI	31
5	<i>QAZOQOV MUXRIDIN HOSHM O'G'LI</i>	ФОРМИРОВАНИЕ СОЦИАЛЬНО-ПСИХОЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ КОМПЕТЕНТНОСТИ У СОТРУДНИКОВ ВНУТРЕННИХ ДЕЛ	36
6	<i>SAIDOVA RAYHONA AMON QIZI</i>	BO'LAJAK PEDAGOGLARDA KASBIY FAOLIYAT SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISHNING PSIXOLOGIK JIHATLARI	39
7	<i>NISHONOVA ZUXRA VOXIDJON QIZI</i>	THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PHONICS APPROACH AND ITS ROLE IN MODERN EDUCATIONAL PARADIGMS	42
8	<i>SADULLAYEVA SEVARA G'AYRADJONOVNA</i>	TALABALARDA UYQUSIZLIK VA UNING SALOMATLIKKA TA'SIRI	46
9	<i>SAFAROVA ZULFIZAR BOBONAZAR QIZI</i>	BAHOLASH AMALIYOTIDA UCHRAYDIGAN MUAMMOLAR VA ULARNI BARTARAF ETISH YO'LLARI	50
10	<i>MAMATOV ALIMARDON SOTVOLDI O'G'LI, SHOMUROTOV ZOVID ZOKIROVICH</i>	PROFESSIONAL DEFORMATION AND ITS CAUSES	54
11	<i>UBAYDULLA AXROROVICH KOSIMOV</i>	KICHIK MAKTAB YOSHIDA AXBOROT QABUL QILISH MODALLIGINING AHAMIYATI	59

T/R	Mualliflar	Maqola sarlavhasi	Bet
12	<i>XAMIDOV AKMAL AMRULLOYEVICH</i>	KASB TANLASHDA IJTIMOY-PSIXOLOGIK XUSUSIYATLARNING O‘RNI	64
13	<i>JAXONOV JAVLONBEK JAMIL O‘G‘LI</i>	O‘SMIRLARDA JINOYAT MOTIVLARI SHAKLLANISHINING IJTIMOY-PSIXOLOGIK XUSUSIYATLARI	68
14	<i>OSTONOVA LOBAR RASHID QIZI</i>	THE ROLE OF PEDAGOGICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL APPROACHES IN THE EFFECTIVE ORGANIZATION OF STUDENTS’ LEISURE TIME	71
15	<i>SAFAROVA ZULFIZAR BOBONAZAR QIZI</i>	MUSTAQIL FAOLIYATNI BAHOLASH TEXNOLOGIYALARI: MUAMMO VA YECHIMLAR	76
16	<i>HAMROYEV JAMSHID O‘G‘LI TEMURBEK</i>	MIGRATSIYA BILAN BOG‘LIQ IJTIMOY SHAROITLARDA O‘SMIRLARNING PSIXOLOGIK MOSLASHUV STRATEGIYALARI.	79
17	<i>OBIDOVA ZILOLA FOZILOVNA</i>	YOSHLAR ORASIDA YUKSAK HUQUQIY MADANIYATNI RIVOJLANTIRISHNING IJTIMOY-PSIXOLOGIK ASOSLARI	83
18	<i>QOSIMOVA SARVINOZ BAXTIYOROVNA</i>	YOSHLAR ONGIDA MANIPULYATSION TA‘SIRLARGA QARSHI IMMUNITETNI HOSIL QILISHNING IJTIMOY-PSIXOLOGIK MEXANIZMLARI.	86
19	<i>SHODIYEVA UMEDA SHUHRAT QIZI</i>	BO‘LAJAK O‘QITUVCHILARDA KOMMUNIKATIV QOBILIYATNI RIVOJLANTIRISHNING ILMIY-NAZARIY ASOSLARI	92
20	<i>UMARALIYEVA MUNOJATXON MASHRABOVNA, IBRAGIMOVA MUHLISA ISMOILJON QIZI</i>	THE DIFFICULTY OF TRANSLATING HUMOR AND JOKES FROM ENGLISH INTO UZBEK	97

Ostonova Lobar Rashid qizi

Lecturer at the Department of Psychology, Bukhara State University

THE ROLE OF PEDAGOGICAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL APPROACHES IN THE EFFECTIVE ORGANIZATION OF STUDENTS' LEISURE TIME

Abstract. *This article examines the role of pedagogical and psychological approaches in the effective organization of students' leisure time. In modern education, the comprehensive development of students is closely related not only to academic activities but also to the meaningful use of their free time. The study explores pedagogical strategies for planning leisure activities based on students' interests, needs, and individual characteristics. In addition, psychological factors such as motivation, emotional stability, socialization, and personal development are analyzed. The article highlights effective methods for organizing students' leisure time through interactive techniques, creative activities, and social projects. The findings indicate that well-structured leisure time contributes to enhancing students' intellectual potential, reducing stress levels, and increasing their social engagement. The results can be useful for improving educational practices and supporting students' overall well-being.*

Key words: *leisure time, pedagogical approach, psychological approach, student, motivation, socialization, personal development, creative activity*

Ostonova Lobar Rashid qizi

Buxoro davlat universiteti Psixologiya kafedrasida o'qituvchisi

TALABALAR BO'SH VAQTINI SAMARALI TASHKIL ETISHDA PEDAGOGIK VA PSIXOLOGIK YONDASHUVLARNING O'RNI

Annotatsiya. *Ushbu maqolada talabalarning bo'sh vaqtini samarali tashkil etishda pedagogik va psixologik yondashuvlarning o'rni va ahamiyati ilmiy-nazariy jihatdan tahlil qilinadi. Zamonaviy ta'lim tizimida talaba shaxsining har tomonlama rivojlanishi nafaqat o'quv jarayoni, balki uning bo'sh vaqtini mazmunli tashkil etish bilan ham chambarchas bog'liqdir. Tadqiqot davomida pedagogik yondashuvlar orqali talabalarning qiziqishlari, ehtiyojlari va individual xususiyatlarini inobatga olgan holda bo'sh vaqt faoliyatini rejalashtirish mexanizmlari ko'rib chiqiladi. Shuningdek, psixologik yondashuvlar asosida motivatsiya, emotsional barqarorlik, ijtimoiylashuv va shaxsiy rivojlanish jarayonlariga ta'sir etuvchi omillar tahlil qilinadi. Maqolada interaktiv metodlar, kreativ faoliyatlar va ijtimoiy loyihalar orqali talabalar bo'sh vaqtini samarali tashkil etish usullari yoritilgan. Tadqiqot natijalari talabalarning intellektual salohiyatini oshirish, stressni kamaytirish va ijtimoiy faolligini rivojlantirishda muhim ahamiyat kasb etadi.*

Kalit so'zlar: *bo'sh vaqt, pedagogik yondashuv, psixologik yondashuv, talaba, motivatsiya, ijtimoiylashuv, shaxs rivoji, kreativ faoliyat*

Остонова Лобар Рашид кизи

Преподаватель кафедры психологии Бухарского государственного университета

РОЛЬ ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКИХ И ПСИХОЛОГИЧЕСКИХ ПОДХОДОВ В ЭФФЕКТИВНОЙ ОРГАНИЗАЦИИ СВОБОДНОГО ВРЕМЕНИ СТУДЕНТОВ

Аннотация. *В данной статье рассматривается роль педагогических и психологических подходов в эффективной организации свободного времени студентов. В современных условиях образовательного процесса развитие личности студента тесно связано не только с учебной деятельностью, но и с рациональным использованием свободного времени. В ходе исследования анализируются педагогические механизмы планирования досуга с учетом интересов, потребностей и индивидуальных особенностей студентов. Особое внимание уделяется психологическим факторам, таким как мотивация, эмоциональная устойчивость, социализация и личностное развитие. В статье раскрываются методы организации свободного времени студентов с использованием интерактивных технологий, творческих*

форм деятельности и социальных проектов. Результаты исследования показывают, что эффективная организация досуга способствует развитию интеллектуального потенциала, снижению уровня стресса и повышению социальной активности студентов.

Ключевые слова: свободное время, педагогический подход, психологический подход, студент, мотивация, социализация, развитие личности, творческая деятельность

Introduction. In the context of rapid globalization and digital transformation, the effective organization of students’ leisure time has become an increasingly important issue in modern education systems. Numerous international studies indicate that students today spend a significant portion of their daily time outside formal learning environments, and how this time is utilized directly influences their academic performance, psychological well-being, and social development. According to recent educational and psychological research, students who engage in structured and meaningful leisure activities demonstrate higher levels of motivation, better stress management, and improved cognitive abilities compared to those with unorganized free time.

The expansion of digital technologies and social media platforms has significantly transformed the nature of leisure activities among young people. While digital environments offer new opportunities for learning and creativity, excessive and unregulated use has been associated with increased levels of anxiety, decreased attention span, and social isolation. This highlights the necessity of implementing pedagogically grounded and psychologically informed approaches to guide students toward productive and balanced leisure practices.

From a pedagogical perspective, the organization of leisure time should be aligned with educational goals, focusing on the development of students’ intellectual, creative, and social competencies. Educational institutions are increasingly encouraged to integrate extracurricular programs, project-based learning, and collaborative activities that foster active engagement beyond the classroom. At the same time, psychological approaches emphasize the importance of intrinsic motivation, emotional regulation, and individual differences in shaping students’ preferences and behaviors during their free time.

Furthermore, empirical evidence suggests that participation in sports, arts, volunteer work, and social initiatives contributes to the formation of positive personal qualities such as responsibility, leadership, and resilience. In this regard, the effective organization of leisure time is not merely a supplementary aspect of education but a critical component of holistic student development.

Therefore, this study aims to explore the role of pedagogical and psychological approaches in structuring students’ leisure time effectively, identifying key factors, methods, and strategies that can enhance both personal growth and educational outcomes.

The literature on students’ leisure time has developed at the intersection of pedagogy, psychology, sociology, and youth studies, reflecting the understanding that free time is not merely a period outside academic instruction, but an important developmental space in which values, motivations, communication patterns, and self-regulatory abilities are formed. Foreign scholars have long emphasized that leisure is closely connected with personality development and quality of life. J. Dumazedier, one of the key theorists of leisure studies, viewed leisure as a sphere of rest, entertainment, and personal development, arguing that it performs not only a compensatory but also a formative social function. His ideas laid the foundation for later pedagogical interpretations of leisure as an educational resource. In a similar vein, J. Kelly examined leisure as a socially structured activity through which identity and interpersonal relations are shaped, showing that the quality of leisure participation often determines the degree of social integration of young people. These early conceptual works made it possible to consider students’ free time not as an accidental or secondary phenomenon, but as a meaningful environment for the formation of life orientations.

A substantial contribution to the psychological understanding of leisure was made by M. Csikszentmihalyi, whose theory of “flow” demonstrated that a person’s optimal psychological state emerges when activity is meaningful, challenging, and internally motivating. This idea is particularly important for the study of student leisure, because it explains why structured creative, intellectual, artistic, or athletic activities have a stronger developmental effect than passive forms of recreation.

From this perspective, leisure becomes effective when it involves concentration, self-expression, and a sense of achievement. Related to this, E. Deci and R. Ryan, in their self-determination theory, argued that sustainable motivation develops under conditions that support autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Their approach is highly relevant to student leisure, since participation in extracurricular or recreational activity becomes genuinely productive only when students experience freedom of choice, feel capable of success, and perceive social support. Thus, foreign psychological scholarship has shown that the educational value of leisure depends not simply on participation itself, but on the motivational and emotional structure of the activity.

The pedagogical literature has expanded this position by focusing on the organization of leisure as a purposeful educational process. J. Dewey's broader educational philosophy, although not limited specifically to leisure, strongly influenced this field by stressing that meaningful experience and active participation are central to learning and development. His ideas encouraged later educators to regard extracurricular and free-time activity as a continuation of educational practice in less formal settings. Contemporary Western educational researchers further argue that leisure settings can foster collaboration, civic engagement, creativity, and reflective thinking, especially when universities create environments where students can participate in clubs, volunteer initiatives, peer learning, student governance, and cultural programs. In this framework, leisure is interpreted as an extension of the developmental mission of education, where non-formal experiences reinforce academic and personal competencies.

In foreign youth-development research, increasing attention has also been paid to the risks associated with poorly organized leisure. Scholars studying adolescence and emerging adulthood note that unstructured free time may lead to social withdrawal, digital overdependence, emotional instability, or involvement in risky behavior, especially when students lack social support and self-regulation skills. For this reason, a number of researchers distinguish between passive leisure and developmental leisure. Passive leisure, often associated with excessive screen time or monotonous entertainment, may provide short-term emotional relief but rarely contributes to personal growth. Developmental leisure, by contrast, is linked with creativity, communication, physical activity, volunteering, and self-education. This distinction is especially significant in the digital era, where students have broad access to information and entertainment, but not always to pedagogically guided forms of engagement. Therefore, recent foreign studies increasingly advocate balanced models of leisure that combine freedom, psychological comfort, and educational purpose.

Russian scholars have approached the issue from somewhat different, though complementary, theoretical positions. A.N. Leontiev's activity theory created an important basis for understanding leisure as a meaningful form of human activity shaped by motives, goals, and personal significance. From this viewpoint, leisure cannot be reduced to inactivity or simple rest; it is a domain in which the student acts, chooses, and develops. S.L. Rubinstein's principle of the unity of consciousness and activity further strengthened this approach by suggesting that personality is formed in the process of active engagement with the world. These ideas became especially influential in Russian pedagogical psychology, where leisure began to be interpreted as an arena for self-expression, social adaptation, and value formation. L.S. Vygotsky's sociocultural theory also has major relevance here, because it highlights the role of communication, mediated activity, and the social environment in development. Applied to student leisure, this means that free-time activity acquires developmental power when it is embedded in meaningful interaction, cooperation, and cultural practice.

Russian pedagogical scholars have also explored the educational organization of leisure in relation to upbringing, collectivism, and social participation. A.S. Makarenko emphasized the formative potential of collective activity and demonstrated that participation in shared, purposeful, and socially valuable work strengthens discipline, responsibility, and moral consciousness. Although his work emerged in a different historical context, many of his ideas remain relevant for understanding group-based student activities, clubs, and volunteer initiatives. V.A. Sukhomlinsky, in turn, attached great importance to the humane and creative dimensions of upbringing, stressing that personal development occurs through emotionally rich, meaningful, and culturally grounded activities. His perspective helps explain why students' leisure should not be reduced to administrative

programming alone, but should instead reflect their interests, inner world, and need for self-realization. In later Russian pedagogical literature, leisure is increasingly considered part of the broader educational environment, where the university acts not only as a transmitter of knowledge, but also as a space for cultural, moral, and social development.

Modern Russian researchers in pedagogy and youth psychology frequently examine leisure in relation to socialization, prevention of deviant behavior, and adaptation to educational environments. Their works often underline that properly organized free time helps reduce anxiety, strengthen communication skills, and create conditions for positive self-affirmation. This line of research is especially important in the context of university transition, when many students face stress, uncertainty, and changes in identity. Russian scholars commonly argue that leisure programs should account for age-specific psychological needs, the student's social environment, and the balance between individual and collective forms of activity. This brings Russian scholarship closer to contemporary international approaches, even if the terminology and emphasis sometimes differ.

A comparison of Russian and foreign literature shows that both traditions converge on several fundamental points. First, leisure is increasingly understood not as a neutral interval between academic tasks, but as a meaningful and educative sphere of life. Second, both traditions recognize that the effectiveness of leisure depends on internal motivation, emotional involvement, and opportunities for social participation. Third, researchers agree that universities and other educational institutions should not leave students' free time entirely to spontaneity, but should provide pedagogical support without suppressing autonomy. At the same time, some differences can be observed. Foreign scholarship often places stronger emphasis on individual choice, well-being, and intrinsic motivation, whereas Russian literature more frequently highlights collective activity, value education, and the formative role of the social environment. These differences are not contradictory; rather, they complement one another and together provide a more complete framework for understanding student leisure.

The reviewed studies make it possible to conclude that pedagogical and psychological approaches to organizing students' leisure time are most effective when they are integrated. A purely pedagogical model may risk becoming formal and directive if it ignores students' needs, emotions, and motivations. Conversely, a purely psychological model may remain too individualized if it does not address the institutional and social conditions necessary for meaningful participation. The literature therefore supports a combined approach in which leisure is planned as a developmental environment that promotes autonomy, creativity, communication, emotional well-being, and social responsibility. Such an approach is especially important in higher education today, where students are expected not only to master professional knowledge, but also to become active, resilient, and socially mature individuals. In this sense, the organization of leisure should be viewed as an essential component of holistic education, and the works of Russian and foreign scholars together provide a strong theoretical basis for further research in this field.

Conclusion. In conclusion, the effective organization of students' leisure time represents a crucial component of modern education, directly influencing not only academic success but also personal, social, and psychological development. The analysis of pedagogical and psychological approaches demonstrates that leisure is not a passive or secondary aspect of student life; rather, it functions as an active developmental space where essential competencies, values, and behavioral patterns are formed.

Pedagogical perspectives highlight the importance of structuring leisure activities in alignment with educational goals, ensuring that students are provided with opportunities for creativity, collaboration, and self-expression. Well-designed extracurricular programs, project-based initiatives, and socially oriented activities enable students to extend their learning beyond the classroom, fostering critical thinking, leadership skills, and civic responsibility. At the same time, psychological approaches emphasize the internal mechanisms that determine the effectiveness of leisure, including motivation, emotional regulation, personal interests, and the need for autonomy. When students engage in activities that are meaningful and intrinsically motivating, they experience higher levels of satisfaction, reduced stress, and stronger self-confidence.

The integration of these two approaches proves to be particularly significant. A balanced model that combines pedagogical guidance with psychological sensitivity allows for the creation of a supportive and flexible environment in which students can actively shape their leisure experiences. Such an environment not only enhances intellectual potential but also contributes to emotional well-being and social integration. Furthermore, in the context of rapid digitalization, this integrated approach becomes even more relevant, as it helps students navigate the challenges of excessive screen time and promotes more productive and health-oriented lifestyles.

Overall, the effective organization of leisure time should be recognized as an essential element of holistic education. By fostering meaningful engagement, encouraging self-development, and supporting positive social interaction, educational institutions can play a key role in shaping well-rounded, resilient, and socially responsible individuals.

List of references.

1. Dumazedier Joffre. *Toward a Society of Leisure*. – New York: Free Press, 1967. – 320 p.
2. Kelly John R.. *Leisure*. – Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall, 1996. – 400 p.
3. Csikszentmihalyi Mihaly. *Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience*. – New York: Harper & Row, 1990. – 303 p.
4. Deci Edward L., Ryan Richard M.. *Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Determination in Human Behavior*. – New York: Plenum Press, 1985. – 371 p.
5. Dewey John. *Experience and Education*. – New York: Macmillan, 1938. – 116 p.
6. Leontiev Alexei N.. *Activity, Consciousness, and Personality*. – Moscow: Politizdat, 1977. – 304 p.



Jurnal O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Buxoro viloyat Axborot va Ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar boshqarmasi tomonidan 2025-yil 26-dekabrda №1273056 sonli guvohnoma bilan ro‘yxatga olingan.

Tahririyat manzili: 200117, O‘zbekiston Respublikasi,
Buxoro shahri Alpomish ko‘chasi, 9-uy
Elektron manzil: aspec.uz
Telegram raqami: -998 (91) 245-46-66

“ACADEMIC SPECTRUM” ilmiy-metodik jurnal.
Buxoro, 2026. № 4-Son.