



ACADEMIC SPECTRUM

2026-yil 4-son

Ilmiy-metodik jurnal
Научно методический журнал
Scientific and Methodical Journal

ISSN

3093-9089

Бухоро - 2026



ACADEMIC SPECTRUM

Ilmiy-metodik jurnal
Научно методический журнал
Scientific and Methodical Journal

№ 4-Son 2026-yil

**Jurnal O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Buxoro viloyat Axborot va Ommaviy
komunikatsiyalar boshqarmasi tomonidan 2025-yil 26-dekabrdagi
№1273056 sonli guvohnoma bilan ro‘yxatga olingan**

BOSH MUHARRIR:

Axmadov Nazirjon Rahmat o‘g‘li

Psixologiya fanlari bo‘yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), dotsent

TAHRIRIYAT KENGASHI A‘ZOLARI

Кулешов Валерий Владимирович - pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor Rossiya Fanlar akademiyasining akademigi (Ukraina).

Andriyenko Yelena Vasilyevna - pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor (Novosibirsk davlat pedagogika universiteti Fizika, matematika, axborot va texnologiya ta‘limi instituti, Novosibirsk, Rossiya).

Romm Tatyana Aleksandrovna - pedagogika fanlari doktori, professor (Novosibirsk davlat pedagogika universiteti Tarix, gumanitar va ijtimoiy ta‘lim instituti, Novosibirsk, Rossiya).

Eko Sri Margianti - Gunadarma universtiteti rektori, iqtisodiyot fanlari doktori, professor (Indoneziya).

Zaripov Lochin Rustamovich - pedagogika fanlari doktori (DSc), professor (O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Oliy ta‘lim, fan va innovatsiyalar vazirligi huzuridagi Oliy ta‘limni rivojlantirish ilmiy-tadqiqot markazi, O‘quv-uslubiy faoliyatni takomillashtirish boshqarma boshlig‘i).

Nazarov Akmal Mardonovich - psixologiya fanlari doktori (DSc), professor (Buxoro davlat universiteti “Psixologiya” kafedrasini mudiri).

Rustamov Shavkat Shuhrat o‘g‘li - psixologiya fanlari doktori (DSc), (Buxoro davlat universiteti “Psixologiya” kafedrasini dotsenti).

Ismatova Dilafruz Tuymuratovna - psixologiya fanlari bo‘yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), (Buxoro davlat universiteti “Psixologiya” kafedrasini dotsenti).

Muxlisov Sodiqjon Saidjonovich - pedagogika fanlari bo‘yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), (Buxoro davlat universiteti “Axborot tizimlari va raqamli texnologiyalar kafedrasini dotsenti).

Tilavov Muxtor Hasan o‘g‘li - psixologiya fanlari bo‘yicha falsafa doktori (PhD), (Buxoro davlat universiteti “Psixologiya” kafedrasini dotsenti).

Mas‘ul kotib:

Dehqonboyev Shohjahon Oybek o‘g‘li – Buxoro davlat universiteti Psixologiya kafedrasini o‘qituvchisi

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF:

Akhmadov Nazirjon Rahmat o‘g‘li — Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Psychology, Associate Professor.

MEMBERS OF THE EDITORIAL BOARD:

Kuleshov Valery Vladimirovich — Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Ukraine).

Andrienko Elena Vasilyevna — Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor (Novosibirsk State Pedagogical University, Institute of Physics, Mathematics, Information and Technological Education, Novosibirsk, Russia).

Romm Tatyana Aleksandrovna — Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor (Novosibirsk State Pedagogical University, Institute of History, Humanities and Social Education, Novosibirsk, Russia).

Eko Sri Margianti — Rector of Gunadarma University, Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor (Indonesia).

Zarirov Lochin Rustamovich — Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences (DSc), Professor (Research Center for the Development of Higher Education under the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovations of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Head of the Department for Improving Educational and Methodological Activities).

Nazarov Akmal Mardonovich — Doctor of Psychological Sciences (DSc), Professor, Head of the Department of Psychology, Bukhara State University.

Rustamov Shavkat Shuhrat o‘g‘li — Doctor of Psychological Sciences (DSc), Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Bukhara State University.

Ismatova Dilafruz Tuymuratovna — Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Psychology, Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Bukhara State University.

Mukhlisov Sodiqjon Saidjonovich — Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor, Department of Information Systems and Digital Technologies, Bukhara State University.

Tilavov Mukhtor Hasan o‘g‘li — Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Psychology, Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Bukhara State University.

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY:

Dehqonboyev Shohjahon Oybek o‘g‘li — Lecturer, Department of Psychology, Bukhara State University.

ГЛАВНЫЙ РЕДАКТОР:

Ахмадов Назирджон Рахмат угли — доктор философии по психологии (PhD), доцент.

ЧЛЕНЫ РЕДАКЦИОННОГО СОВЕТА:

Кулешов Валерий Владимирович — доктор педагогических наук, профессор, академик Российской академии наук (Украина).

Андрienко Елена Васильевна — доктор педагогических наук, профессор (Новосибирский государственный педагогический университет, Институт физики, математики, информационного и технологического образования, г. Новосибирск, Россия).

Ромм Татьяна Александровна — доктор педагогических наук, профессор (Новосибирский государственный педагогический университет, Институт истории, гуманитарного и социального образования, г. Новосибирск, Россия).

Eko Sri Margianti — ректор Университета Гунадарма, доктор экономических наук, профессор (Индонезия).

Зариров Лочин Рустамович — доктор педагогических наук (DSc), профессор (Научно-исследовательский центр развития высшего образования при Министерстве высшего образования, науки и инноваций Республики Узбекистан, начальник управления по совершенствованию учебно-методической деятельности).

Назаров Акмал Мардонович — доктор психологических наук (DSc), профессор, заведующий кафедрой «Психология» Бухарского государственного университета.

Рустамов Шавкат Шухрат угли — доктор психологических наук (DSc), доцент кафедры «Психология» Бухарского государственного университета.

Исматова Дилафруз Туймуратовна — доктор философии по психологии (PhD), доцент кафедры «Психология» Бухарского государственного университета.

Мухлисов Содикжон Саиджонович — доктор философии по педагогическим наукам (PhD), доцент кафедры «Информационные системы и цифровые технологии» Бухарского государственного университета.

Тилавов Мухтор Хасан угли — доктор философии по психологии (PhD), доцент кафедры «Психология» Бухарского государственного университета.

ОТВЕТСТВЕННЫЙ СЕКРЕТАРЬ:

Дехконбоев Шохжахон Ойбек угли — преподаватель кафедры «Психология» Бухарского государственного университета.

Nishonova Zuxra Voxidjon qizi
TIU English language teacher Namangan, Uzbekistan
ORCID: 0009-0002-7370-0916

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PHONICS APPROACH AND ITS ROLE IN MODERN EDUCATIONAL PARADIGMS

Abstract: *The phonics approach has long been considered a fundamental method in early literacy instruction, particularly in primary education. This article examines the historical evolution of the phonics approach and its role within modern educational paradigms. Drawing on key theoretical frameworks and empirical studies, including the National Reading Panel (2000) and the Rose Report (Rose, 2006), the study highlights the effectiveness of systematic phonics instruction in developing decoding skills. At the same time, the article critically evaluates the limitations of phonics when applied in isolation and emphasizes the importance of integrating phonics with broader literacy practices (Duke & Pearson, 2002). The findings suggest that phonics should be embedded within communicative and constructivist approaches to support meaningful language development and reading comprehension.*

Keywords: *phonics approach, synthetic phonics, early literacy, reading instruction, decoding skills, literacy development, primary education, constructivist learning, communicative approach, reading comprehension.*

Нишонова Зухра Вохиджон кизи
Преподаватель английского языка TIU, Наманган, Узбекистан
ORCID: 0009-0002-7370-0916

РАЗВИТИЕ ФОНИЧЕСКОГО ПОДХОДА И ЕГО РОЛЬ В СОВРЕМЕННЫХ ОБРАЗОВАТЕЛЬНЫХ ПАРАДИГМАХ

Аннотация: *Фонический подход давно считается одним из основных методов обучения чтению на начальном этапе, особенно в начальной школе. В данной статье рассматривается историческое развитие фонического подхода и его роль в современных образовательных парадигмах. Опираясь на ключевые теоретические модели и эмпирические исследования, включая отчёт National Reading Panel (2000) и доклад Rose (2006), в работе подчеркивается эффективность систематического обучения фонетике в развитии навыков декодирования. В то же время проводится критический анализ ограничений данного подхода при его изолированном применении и подчеркивается необходимость его интеграции с более широкими практиками обучения грамотности (Duke & Pearson, 2002). Результаты исследования показывают, что фонический подход должен быть встроен в коммуникативные и конструктивистские методы обучения для обеспечения полноценного развития языковых навыков и понимания текста.*

Ключевые слова: *фонический подход, синтетическая фонетика, ранняя грамотность, обучение чтению, навыки декодирования, развитие грамотности, начальное образование, конструктивистское обучение, коммуникативный подход, понимание текста.*

Nishonova Zuxra Voxidjon qizi
TIU ingliz tili o'qituvchisi, Namangan, O'zbekiston
ORCID: 0009-0002-7370-0916

FONIKA YONDASHUVINING RIVOJLANISHI VA UNING ZAMONAVIY TA'LIM PARADIGMALARIDAGI O'RNI

Annotatsiya: *Fonik yondashuv uzoq vaqtdan beri, ayniqsa boshlang'ich ta'limda, o'qish savodxonligini o'rgatishning asosiy metodlaridan biri sifatida qaraladi. Mazkur maqolada fonik yondashuvning tarixiy rivojlanishi hamda uning zamonaviy ta'lim paradigmalari doirasidagi o'rni tahlil qilinadi. National Reading Panel (2000) hisobotlari va Rose Report (2006) kabi muhim nazariy*

hamda empirik tadqiqotlarga tayangan holda, maqolada tizimli fonik ta'limning dekodlash ko'nikmalarini rivojlantirishdagi samaradorligi yoritib beriladi. Shu bilan birga, fonik yondashuvni alohida holda qo'llashning cheklovlari tanqidiy tahlil qilinadi hamda uni kengroq savodxonlikni rivojlantirish amaliyotlari bilan integratsiya qilish zarurligi asoslanadi (Duke & Pearson, 2002). Tadqiqot natijalari shuni ko'rsatadiki, fonik yondashuv mazmunli til rivoji va o'qishni tushunishni ta'minlash uchun kommunikativ va konstruktivistik yondashuvlar bilan uyg'unlashtirilishi lozim.

Kalit so'zlar: fonik yondashuv, sintetik fonika, erta savodxonlik, o'qishni o'rgatish, dekodlash ko'nikmalari, savodxonlikni rivojlantirish, boshlang'ich ta'lim, konstruktivistik ta'lim, kommunikativ yondashuv, matnni tushunish.

Introduction: The process of learning to read is one of the most significant milestones in a child's educational development. Among various instructional approaches, phonics has emerged as a central method for teaching early reading skills (Adams, 1990). Phonics focuses on the relationship between sounds (phonemes) and letters (graphemes), enabling learners to decode written language systematically (Ehri, 2005). Despite its long-standing presence in education, the role of phonics has evolved considerably in response to changing pedagogical theories and empirical research.

In contemporary education, the emphasis has shifted from isolated skill acquisition to integrated and meaningful learning experiences (Pressley, 2006). As a result, phonics is no longer viewed as a standalone method but as part of a broader literacy framework.

Historical Development of the Phonics Approach

The origins of phonics instruction can be traced back to traditional literacy teaching methods, where emphasis was placed on memorization and repetition. Early approaches often lacked systematic structure, leading to inconsistent learning outcomes (Adams, 1990). However, with the emergence of scientific research in reading education, phonics began to be recognized as a critical component of literacy development.

A major turning point in the evolution of phonics was the introduction of structured literacy programs, such as the National Literacy Strategy. These programs emphasized systematic instruction, ensuring that learners were taught phoneme-grapheme relationships in a logical and sequential manner (National Reading Panel, 2000). The publication of the Rose Report further reinforced the importance of phonics, concluding that systematic synthetic phonics is the most effective method for teaching early reading (Rose, 2006).

Research has shown that synthetic phonics leads to significant improvements in decoding skills, especially among early learners (Ehri, 2005).

Theoretical Foundations of Phonics Instruction

The effectiveness of phonics can be explained through several theoretical perspectives. One of the key principles underlying phonics is the alphabetic principle, which states that written language represents spoken language through systematic relationships between letters and sounds (Ehri, 2005). Understanding this principle is essential for developing decoding skills.

From a cognitive perspective, phonics supports the development of automatic word recognition. As learners become proficient in decoding, they can recognize words more quickly, reducing cognitive load and allowing greater focus on comprehension. This aligns with Automaticity Theory (LaBerge & Samuels, 1974).

In addition, phonics instruction is closely related to cognitive load theory. When decoding becomes automatic, learners can allocate more cognitive resources to higher-level processes such as comprehension (Sweller, 1988).

Phonics within Constructivist and Sociocultural Paradigms

While phonics provides essential technical skills, modern educational theories emphasize the importance of meaningful learning experiences. Constructivist theory, associated with Piaget, suggests that learners actively construct knowledge through interaction with their environment (Piaget, 1970). In this context, phonics should not be taught in isolation but integrated into meaningful reading activities.

Similarly, Vygotsky's sociocultural theory highlights the role of social interaction in learning. The concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) suggests that learners benefit from guided instruction and scaffolding (Vygotsky, 1978). Phonics instruction can therefore be enhanced through collaborative learning and teacher support.

Integration of Phonics with Modern Literacy Practices

Modern literacy instruction advocates for a balanced approach that combines phonics with other essential components of reading, including vocabulary, comprehension, and fluency (Grabe, 2009). Research indicates that phonics alone is insufficient for developing full reading competence (Duke & Pearson, 2002).

For example, learners may decode words accurately but still struggle with understanding text due to limited vocabulary knowledge (Perfetti, 1999). Therefore, effective reading instruction must integrate phonics with comprehension strategies.

The Role of Digital Technology in Phonics Instruction

The rapid advancement of educational technology has transformed the way phonics is taught. Digital platforms offer adaptive learning environments that adjust to individual learners' needs (Tracy & Morrow, 2012). These tools provide immediate feedback, allowing learners to correct mistakes and improve their understanding.

Moreover, digital technology supports multimodal learning by combining visual, auditory, and interactive elements, which enhances both engagement and retention (Snow, 2010). Gamification has also been shown to increase motivation and participation in reading activities.

Critical Evaluation of the Phonics Approach

Despite its effectiveness, the phonics approach has certain limitations. Excessive focus on decoding may lead to neglect of comprehension and meaning-making processes (Pressley, 2006). Learners may become proficient in sounding out words but fail to understand the text.

Therefore, phonics should be integrated with broader literacy practices to ensure balanced development (Duke & Pearson, 2002).

Conclusion

In conclusion, the phonics approach remains a fundamental component of early reading instruction. However, its effectiveness depends on its integration within modern educational paradigms that emphasize comprehension, communication, and critical thinking. A balanced and integrated approach is essential for developing competent readers (Grabe, 2009).

REFERENCES

1. Castles, A., & Coltheart, M. (2004). Is there a causal link from phonological awareness to success in learning to read? *Cognition*, 91(1), 77–111.
2. Cummins, J. (1979). Linguistic interdependence and the educational development of bilingual children. *Review of Educational Research*, 49(2), 222–251.
3. Gough, P. B., & Tunmer, W. E. (1986). Decoding, reading, and reading disability. *Remedial and Special Education*, 7(1), 6–10.
4. Grabe, W. (2009). *Reading in a second language: Moving from theory to practice*. Cambridge University Press.
5. Koda, K. (2005). *Insights into second language reading: A cross-linguistic approach*. Cambridge University Press.
6. Krashen, S. D. (2004). *The power of reading: Insights from the research* (2nd ed.). Libraries Unlimited.
7. National Reading Panel. (2000). *Teaching children to read: An evidence-based assessment of the scientific research literature on reading and its implications for reading instruction*. National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.
8. Piaget, J. (1970). *Science of education and the psychology of the child*. Orion Press.
9. Rose, J. (2006). *Independent review of the teaching of early reading (The Rose Report)*. Department for Education and Skills.

10. Rumelhart, D. E. (1977). Toward an interactive model of reading. In S. Dornic (Ed.), *Attention and performance VI* (pp. 573–603). Erlbaum.
11. Snow, C. E. (2002). *Reading for understanding: Toward an R&D program in reading comprehension*. RAND Corporation.
12. Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science*, 12(2), 257–285.
13. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
14. Ehri, L. C. (2005). Development of sight word reading: Phases and findings. In M. J. Snowling & C. Hulme (Eds.), *The science of reading* (pp. 135–154). Blackwell.
15. Perfetti, C. A. (1999). Comprehending written language: A blueprint of the reader. In C. Brown & P. Hagoort (Eds.), *The neurocognition of language* (pp. 167–208). Oxford University Press.
16. Stanovich, K. E. (1986). Matthew effects in reading. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 21(4), 360–407.
17. Adams, M. J. (1990). *Beginning to read: Thinking and learning about print*. MIT Press.
18. Pressley, M. (2006). *Reading instruction that works: The case for balanced teaching*. Guilford Press.
19. Duke, N. K., & Pearson, P. D. (2002). Effective practices for developing reading comprehension. In A. Farstrup & S. Samuels (Eds.), *What research has to say about reading instruction* (pp. 205–242). International Reading Association.
20. Tracy, B. L., & Morrow, L. M. (2012). *Lenses on reading: An introduction to theories and models*. Guilford Press.

MUNDARIJA:

T/R	Mualliflar	Maqola sarlavhasi	Bet
1	<i>G'AYBULLAYEV ALISHER ASADOVICH</i>	MAKTAB UQUVCHILARIDA BULLING XULQ ATVOR SHAKLLANISHINING IJTIMOY PSIXOLOGIK DETERMINANTLARI	6
2	<i>YOQUBJONOVA SEVARA BAHODIR QIZI</i>	PRESERVING THE AUTHOR'S INDIVIDUAL STYLE IN UZBEK TRANSLATION (BASED ON LEE KUAN YEW'S FROM THIRD WORLD TO FIRST)	12
3	<i>IBODULLOYEV NURALI SHERALIYEVICH</i>	PEDAGOGIK TEXNOLOGIYALARNING TAʼLIM JARAYONIDA SAMARDORLIGI VA METODOLOGIK ASOSLARI	23
4	<i>AXMEDOVA ZARRINA JAMILOVNA, NARZULLOYEVA JASMINA JO'RABEK QIZI</i>	IJTIMOY TARMOQLARNING OʻSPIRINLAR PSIXIKASIGA TAʼSIRI VA UNING PSIXOLOGIK JIHATLARI	26
5	<i>QAZOQOV MUXRIDIN HOSHIM O'G'LI</i>	?????????? ???????-????????????? ????????????? ? ??????????? ?????????? ??	31
6	<i>SAIDOVA RAYHONA AMON QIZI</i>	BOʻLAJAK PEDAGOGLARDA KASBIY FAOLIYAT SAMARADORLIGINI OSHIRISHNING PSIXOLOGIK JIHATLARI	34
7	<i>NISHONOVA ZUXRA VOXIDJON QIZI</i>	THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PHONICS APPROACH AND ITS ROLE IN MODERN EDUCATIONAL PARADIGMS	37
8	<i>SADULLAYEVA SEVARA G'AYRADJONOVNA</i>	TALABALARDA UYQUSIZLIK VA UNING SALOMATLIKKA TAʼSIRI	41
9	<i>SAFAROVA ZULFIZAR BOBONAZAR QIZI</i>	BAHOLASH AMALIYOTIDA UCHRAYDIGAN MUAMMOLAR VA ULARNI BARTARAF ETISH YOʻLLARI	45
10	<i>MAMATOV ALIMARDON SOTVOLDI O'G'LI, SHOMUROTOV ZOXID ZOKIROVICH</i>	PROFESSIONAL DEFORMATION AND ITS CAUSES	49



Jurnal O‘zbekiston Respublikasi Buxoro viloyat Axborot va Ommaviy kommunikatsiyalar boshqarmasi tomonidan 2025-yil 26-dekabrda №1273056 sonli guvohnoma bilan ro‘yxatga olingan.

Tahririyat manzili: 200117, O‘zbekiston Respublikasi,
Buxoro shahri Alpomish ko‘chasi, 9-uy
Elektron manzil: aspec.uz
Telegram raqami: -998 (91) 245-46-66

“ACADEMIC SPECTRUM” ilmiy-metodik jurnal.
Buxoro, 2026. № 4-Son.